

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/761,306	KONDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeremy S. Cerullo	2112	

All Participants:

(1) Jeremy S. Cerullo.

(2) Arthur Steiner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

2 and 4

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted the Applicant's representative to propose an Examiner's Amendment to correct apparent typographical errors in the claims prior to sending the Notice of Allowability. Applicant's Representative agreed to the corrections.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)